Abuja Journal of Economics & Allied Fields, Vol. 9(5), 2018 Print ISSN: 2672-4375; Online ISSN: 2672-4324



Evaluation of the Effect of Noncurrent Assets on Profitability in Nigerian Banking Sector: Evidence From Panel Data

Tajudeen Adejare Adegbite¹, Felix Ebun Araoye²

¹ Department of Accounting and Finance, Kings University, Odeomu, Osun State ² Internal Audit, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomoso, Oyo State.

Abstract

This study examined the effect of noncurrent assets on profitability of sampled Nigerian commercial banks. Secondary data were used in this study. The data was obtained from annual reports accounts of ten (10) Nigerian commercial Bank purposefully selected from 2006 to 2017. Panel Data analysis technique was used to analyse the effect of independent variables (Building, Land, Leasehold premises, fixtures and fitting, and investment in computers) on dependent variable (Net profit). Results showed that there is a positive significant effect of BDG, ICT, MACH on NETPR (β = .0052578; .0195288; .0719033 t = 0.001, 0.000; 0.009 < 0.05). (β = .0195288, t = 0.000 < 0.05). LEASE, LAND, and FIXF also had positive significant effect of LEASE on NETPR ($\beta = .0434922$; .1678305; .0499863 t = 0.007; 0.005; 0.000 < 0.05). In conclusion, investments in noncurrent assets had positive significant impact on the Nigerian Banks' profitability. Noncurrent assets are used to generate revenue for the benefits of shareholders. It is recommended that banks should establish efficient noncurrent asset management and optimization program in the bank in order to improve their profitability. This program should be designed to eliminate or reduce the effect of carry cost for assets that are no longer needed or used in the bank. Banks should also improve the investments in noncurrent assets in terms of ICT so as to boost their profitability.

Keywords: Noncurrent assets; Panel Data; Net profit; Commercial banks; Investment; JEL Codes: G21

1. Introduction

Background to the study

No firms can grow and sustain without investment in noncurrent asset. Investment in noncurrent assets like land, building, plant and machinery, fixtures, fittings and motor vehicle it is imperative for every organisation with profit motive. Investment in noncurrent assets enhances the productive capacity of firms and generates long term profitability (Olatunji and Adegbite, 2014). Noncurrent asset investment decisions are among the most important decisions taken by firms because they affect shareholders wealth, the long-term perspective of the firm's survival, a competitive advantage and also the overall economic welfare of society. Every firms invest considerable amounts of money on noncurrent asset in order to increase financial performance, maximize revenue, and maintain the competitive position in the market. Noncurrent asset are the category of assets that generally indicate the most important use of a company's resources. Investments in noncurrent assets such as buildings, equipment and machinery increase the firm's production capacity in order to increase the long-term profit of the

Abuja Journal of Economics & Allied Fields, Vol. 9(5), 2018 Print ISSN: 2672-4375; Online ISSN: 2672-4324

company. This category of assets does not change frequently and they are purchased to produce and sell more. Assets have significant role in determining the efficiency and the profit ratio of a firm. Since a firm acquires plant and machinery and other productive noncurrent assets for the purpose of generating sales. Therefore, efficiency in the use of noncurrent assets should be judged in relation to sales. Olatunji and Adegbite, (2014) asserted that noncurrent assets turnover ratio measures the efficiency with which a firm is utilizing its investment in noncurrent assets. It also indicates the adequacy of sale in relation to investment in noncurrent assets. Generally, a high noncurrent assets turnover ratio indicates efficient utilization of noncurrent assets in generating sales, while a low ratio indicates inefficient management and utilization of noncurrent assets.

Banking sector just as firms in the brewery and other industries require a large amount of noncurrent asset investment in large capital equipment while, service companies and computer software producers need a relatively small amount of noncurrent assets. Effective organization of noncurrent assets is one of the most important parts of the entire corporation and in creating value for shareholders. The main purpose of any firm is to reduce the cost of production in order to maximize their profit. But, maintaining liquidity of the firm also is an important objective. The problem is that increasing profits at the expense of noncurrent asset can bring serious problems to the firm. Yet, some organisations find it difficult to increase their investment in noncurrent asset. Olatunii and Adegbite (2014) analysed the effect of investment in fixed assets on profitability of selected Nigerian banks with Pearson product moment correlation and multiple regressions. Why did the study employ Pearson product moment correlation and multiple regressions?

This study employed panel data to examine the effect of investment in noncurrent asset on profitability of selected Nigerian banks. It also analyses the relationship between noncurrent assets values and Return on Investment (ROI) and determines the effect of noncurrent assets investment on Net profits of sampled Nigerian commercial banks.

2. Literature Review

Empirical Review

Olatunji and Adegbite (2014) examined the effect of investment in fixed assets on profitability of selected Nigerian banks. The study also analyzed the significant components of fixed assets investment of selected Nigerian Commercial Banks. Data were obtained from annual reports and accounts of selected Nigerian commercial Banks. Pearson product moment correlation and multiple regressions were employed to analyze the relationship between the dependent variable (Net profit) and independent variables (Building, Land, Leasehold premises, fixtures and fitting, and investment in computers.). Findings showed that there is a significant relationship between dependent variable (Net Profit) and independent variables (Building, the information communication and technology, machinery, leasehold, land and fixture and fitting) with the adjusted R^2 @ 96%. Therefore, investments in fixed assets have strong and positive statistical impact on the profitability of banking sector in Nigeria.

Ibam (2007) argued that a company's investment in fixed asset is dependent, to a large degree, on its line of business. Some businesses are more capital intensive than others. According to Ibam (2007) fixed asset turnover ratio looked at asset over time and compares the ratio to that of competitors. This gives the investor an idea of how effectively a company's management is using fixed asset. It is a rough measure of the productivity of a company's fixed assets with respect to generating sales. The higher the number of times turned over, the better. However investors look for consistency or increasing fixed assets turnover rates as positive balance sheet investment qualities (Ibam, 2008).

Eriotis *et al.* (2000) investigated the relationship between debt to equity ratio and firm's profitability taking into consideration

Abuja Journal of Economics & Allied Fields, Vol. 9(5), 2018 Print ISSN: 2672-4375; Online ISSN: 2672-4324

the level of a firm's investment and the degree of market power. The study used panel data for various industries, covering a period 1995-96. They concluded that firms which prefer to finance their investment activities through self-finance are more profitable than firms which finance investment through borrowed capital. According to them, firms used their investment in fixed assets as a strategic variable to affect profitability.

Berger and DeYoung (1997) indicated that most research on bank efficiency is mainly weighted on cost efficiency; Zheng et al. (2007) as stated in Alayemi (2013) also emphasized the cost efficiency of small and mid-sized banks in Taiwan. It was not until recently that profit efficiency began to be noticed. The study of profit efficiency considers both the cost efficiency and earning efficiency. Berger and DeYoung (1997) pointed out that while conducting research on cost efficiency, profit must be assumed to reach its maximum level under the pre-determined bank inputs and outputs. However, the above assumption may be inconsistent with reality due to the ignorance of quality problems. For instance, higher quality banks may have higher costs that induce cost inefficiency. However, the higher quality banks may generate higher earnings and profits, thus it makes profit efficiency.

Sayeed and Hogue (2009) studied the impact of assets and liability management on profitability of public and private commercial banks in Bangladesh. According to them, banks' profitability is almost always of concern in modern economy. Banks are in business to receive deposits or liabilities and to issue debt securities on the one hand and create or invest in assets on the other hand. Thus commercial banks incur cost for their liabilities and earn income from their assets. Thus profitability of banks is directly affected by management of their assets and liability. Their study examined how assets and liability management together with external variable such as degree of market concentration and inflation rate impact the profitability of selected commercial banks in

Bangladesh. The study also dealt with the impact of Assets and Liability Management (ALM) on the profitability of the sixteen Bangladesh commercial banks classified into private and public. The results show that the use of total income the dependent variable for private and public banks show evidence that all of the assets have significant contribution to total income of the private banks.

Beneish *et al.* (2001), and Fairfield, Whisenant and Yohn (2003), among others have identified a rather strong negative relationship between investment intensity and profitability. Gautam (2008) found out that high fixed cost can deplete a company's profit especially if sales fall. The revelation that other variables do not have significant impact on profit after tax may be explained by the fact that companies probably adjust selling prices of their products to take care of changes in variable cost other than fixed cost.

Khalid (2012) examined the relationship between the asset quality management proxies and profitability nexus. Using the return on assets and profitability ratios as proxies for bank profitability for the period 2006-07 to 2010-11, operating performance of the sample banks is estimated with the help of financial ratios. Also multiple regression model was employed to examine if bank asset quality and operating performance are positively correlated. The results showed that a bad asset ratio is negatively associated with banking operating performance, after controlling for the effects of operating scale, traditional banking business concentration and the idle fund ratio.

Okwo *et al.* (2012) studied the investment in fixed assets and firm profitability, evidence from the Nigerian Brewery Industry. A cross sectional data was gathered for the analysis from the annual reports of the sampled brewery firms for a period of 1995 to 2009. The four brewery firms that constitute the sample were those quoted on the Nigerian Stock Exchange and their inclusion in the analysis is based on the availability of data

for the sample period. The brewery firms that constitute the sample are: Nigerian Breweries Plc, Guinness Nigeria Plc and International Breweries Plc, Champion Breweries Plc. The result of the tested hypothesis showed that the level of investment in fixed assets does not strongly and significantly impact on the level of reported profit of breweries in Nigeria.

3. Methodology

Secondary data were used in this study. The data was obtained from annual reports accounts of ten (10) Nigerian commercial Bank purposefully selected from 2006 to 2017. Panel Data analysis technique was used to analyse the effect of independent variables (Building, Land, Leasehold premises, fixtures and fitting, and investment in computers) on dependent variable (Net profit).

Model Specification

Net profit is the explained variable in this model, while the explanatory variables are book values of Building, Land, Leasehold premises, fixtures and fitting, and investment in computers. Thirteen Nigerian Commercial Banks were purposively selected for the survey and analysis. This study employs annual data on the effect of investment in fixed asset on profitability of selected Nigerian banks from the period of 2006 to 2017.

 $P = f(X1, X2, X3, X4, X5, X5 \mu)$

A regression model relates Y to a function of X and μ Where:

P - Dependent variable, i.e Profitability X1 - X5 - Independent variables i.e

investment in fixed assets by description μ. - Error term

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} NETPR = a0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a1BDG + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a2ICT + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a3MACH + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a4LEASEH + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a5LAND + \sum_{$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a6FIXF +$ μ**1** (2)

Transforming equation (1) to the natural logarithm it changed to $\sum_{i=1}^{n} LOGNETPR = a0 +$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a1LOGBDG + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a2LOGICT +$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a3LOGMACH +$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a4LOGLEASEH +$ $+\sum_{i=1}^{n} a5LOGLAND +$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a6LOGFIXF + \mu 3 \quad (3)$

Where:

NETPR – Net profit BDG - Building ІСТ - Investment in computer MACH – Machinery LEASEH – Leasehold Premises LAND – Land FIXF - Fixtures and Fitting

4. Results and Discussion

(1) Table 1: Pooled effect Model on effect of Noncurrent assets investment on Net profit

Dependent	Independent	Coefficient	Standard	Т	P>/T/	(95% conf.
variables	variables		error			Interval)
	LOGBDG	.0114622	.0214881	3.98	0.004	0315975
						.053311
	LOGICT	.0203016	.0171476	4.61	0.000	0136985
LOGNETPR						.054143
	LOGMACH	.0763049	.0514375	4.22	0.001	028427
						.1731567
	LOGLEASE	0413753	.0444736	3.89	0.011	1296911
						.0469405
	LOGLAND	.2792015	.1436933	3.00	0.019	0061449
						.564548
	LOGFIXF	0942741	.1689904	5.30	0.000	4298556
						.2413073
	CONSTANT	14.61139	2.846329	5.13	0.000	8.959143
						20.26363
R-squared =	0.6768	Adi R-squared	l = 0.6377		Prob > F	= 0.0005

Source: Researcher's Computation (2018)



Abuja Journal of Economics & Allied Fields, Vol. 9(5), 2018 Print ISSN: 2672-4375; Online ISSN: 2672-4324

Fig.1 - Regression plots of the effect of Noncurrent assets investment on Net profit

Table 1 and Fig. 1 showed the effect of BDG, ICT, MACH, LEASE, LAND and FIXF on NETPR. 1% increase in BDG reduces NETPR by 0.011%, it shows that there is a positive significant effect of BDG on NETPR (β = .0114622 t = 0.004 < 0.05). 1% increase in ICT increases NETPR by 0.020%, it shows that there is a positive significant effect of ICT on NETPR (β = .0203016, t = 0.000 < 0.05). 1% increase in MACH increases NETPR by 0.076%, it shows that there is a positive significant effect of MACH on NETPR (β = .0763049, t = 0.001 < 0.05). Contrarily 1% increase in LEASE reduces NETPR by 0.041%, it shows that there is a negative significant effect of LEASE on NETPR (β = -.0413753, t = 0.011 < 0.05).1% increase in LAND increases NETPR by 0.279 %, it shows that there is a positive significant effect of LAND on NETPR (β = .2792015, t = 0.005 < 0.05).1% increase in FIXF reduces NETPR by 0.049%, it shows that there is a positive significant effect of FIXF on NETPR(β = -.0942741, t = 0.000 < 0.05).

Given the coefficient of determination (R^2) as 0.6768 which is 68% supported by high value of adjusted R²as 64%, it presumes that the independent variables incorporated into this model have been able to explain the effect of E-HRM to 64 %. That is, there is a significant effect of independent variables (E-RECRUIT, E-TRAIN, E-COMP, E-BENEF, E-SELECT and E-EVAL) on dependent variable E-HRM. The F Probability statistic also confirms the significance of this model. The adjusted R²of 0.6377 indicates that about 64 % of total variation in the dependent variable is accounted for by the explanatory variables at level of 0.05 level of significance.

Table 2: Effect of Noncurrent assets investment on Net profit using Random effect model									
Dependent	Independent	Coefficient Standard		Т	P>/T/	(95% conf. Interval)			
Variable	Variables		Error						
	LOGBDG	.0052578	.0214545	4.25	0.001	0367922			
						.0473078			
	LOGICT	.0195288	.0169202	5.12	0.000	0136342			
LOGNETPR						.0526918			
	LOGMACH	.0719033	.0514583	3.59	0.009	0289531			
						.1727598			
	LOGLEASE	.0434922	.0762714	3.79	0.007	1309993			
						.0394872			
	LOGLAND	.1678305	.0210335	3.81	0.005	1028935			
						.5549898			
	LOGFIXF	.0499863	.1796275	5.30	0.000	302077			
						.4020496			
	CONSTANT	13.838	3.013088	8.59	0.000	7.932452			
						19.74354			
R-sq: within	= 0.0774	si	sigma_u		Wald chi2 (6)) =2.96			
between $= 0.0594$.02734533							
overall = 0.0714		sigma_e			Wald chi2(6)	= 7.37			
		.1003095			(1)				
rho .0691750				91750					
	(fraction of variance								
	due to u_i)								

Abuja Journal of Economics & Allied Fields, Vol. 9(5), 2018 Print ISSN: 2672-4375; Online ISSN: 2672-4324

Source: Researcher's Computation (2018)

Random effect needs to be tested because of the doubt that may arise with pooled result. Table 3 showed the effect of BDG, ICT, MACH, LEASE, LAND and FIXF on NETPR. 1% increase in BDG reduces NETPR by 0.005%, it shows that there is a positive significant effect of BDG on NETPR (β =.0052578 t = 0.001 < 0.05). 1% increase in ICT increases NETPR by 0.019%, it shows that there is a positive significant effect of ICT on NETPR (β = .0195288, t = 0.000 < 0.05). 1% increase in MACH increases NETPR by 0.071%, it shows that there is a positive significant effect of MACH on NETPR (β = .0719033, t = 0.009 < 0.05).1% increase in LEASE increases NETPR by 0.043%, it shows that there is a positive significant effect of LEASE on NETPR (β = .0434922, t = 0.007 < 0.05).1% increase in LAND increases NETPR by 0.167%, it shows that there is a positive significant effect of LAND on NETPR (β = .1678305, t = 0.005 < 0.05).1% increase in FIXF increases NETPR by 0.049%, it shows that there is a positive significant effect of FIXF on NETPR(β = .0499863, t = 0.000 < 0.05).

Table 3: Effect of Noncurrent assets investment on Net profit using Fixed effect model

Dependent	Independent	Coefficient	Standard	Т	P>/T/	(95% cor	nf.
Variable	Variables		Error			Interval)	
	LOGBDG	0120366	.0219562	-	0.034	0556988	
				2.98		.0316257	
LOGNETPR	LOCICT	0171974	0169404	5 22	0.000	0162106	
	LUGICI	.01/18/4	.0108494	5.22	0.000	0105190	
	LOCMACI	0740640	0144106	4.22	0.002	.0506945	
	LUGMACH	.0748649	.0144186	4.32	0.003	029/3/1	
						.1/94669	
	LOGLEASE	.0655386	.0526006	4.91	0.001	1338697	
						.0363124	
	LOGLAND	2218357	.2842970	3.00	0.011	7871915	
						.3435201	
	LOGFIXF	.0125883	.2329301	2.31	0.023	.0743813	

Dependen Variable	t Independent Variables	Coefficient	Standard Error	Т	P>/T/	(95% Interval)	conf.
	CONSTANT	15.63698	3.844094	4.07	0.000	1.0007 7.992576 23.28138	795
R-sq: within = 0.1268 between = 0.4996 overall = 0.0024		Pro	bb > F = 0.00	81	sigma_u sigma_e rho of va	.07470355 .10030955 .35675724 (fr rriance due to u_	action i)

Abuja Journal of Economics & Allied Fields, Vol. 9(5), 2018 Print ISSN: 2672-4375; Online ISSN: 2672-4324

Source: Researcher's Computation (2018)

The result in Table 4 showed the effect of BDG, ICT, MACH, LEASE, LAND and FIXF on NETPR. 1% increase in BDG reduces NETPR by 0.012%, it shows that there is a negative significant effect of BDG on NETPR (β = -.0120366 t = 0.034 <0.05). 1% increase in ICT increases NETPR by 0.0171%, it shows that there is a positive significant effect of ICT on NETPR (β = .0748649 t=0.003<0.05).1% increase in MACH increases NETPR by 0.074%, it shows that there is a positive significant effect of MACH on NETPR (β =

.0748649 t = 0.003 < 0.05).1% increase in LEASE increases NETPR by 0.065%, it shows that there is a positive significant effect of LEASE on NETPR (β = .0655386 t= 0.001 < 0.05).1% increase in LAND increases NETPR by 0.22%, it shows that there is a negative significant effect of LAND on NETPR (β = -.2218357 t = 0.011 < 0.05).1% increase in FIXF increases NETPR by 0.0125%, it shows that there is a positive significant effect of FIXF on NETPR(β = .0125883 t=0.023<0.05).

Table 4: Hausman test on the Effect of Noncurrent assets investment on Net profit

Dependent variables	Independent variables	Coefficient	Coefficient (B)	(b-B) Difference	Sqrt (diag (v-b-v-B))	
			(2)	21110101100	S.E	
	LOGBDG	.0052578	0120366	.0172943	-	
	LOGICT	.0195288	.0171874	.0023415	.0015461	
	LOGMACH	.0719033	.0748649	0029616	-	
LOGNETPR	LOGLEASE	0457561	0487786	.0030226	.0077884	
	LOGLAND	.2260482	2218357	.4478839	-	
	LOGFIXF	.0499863	.5375883	487602	-	
b = consistent under $B = inconsistent under$		Test: Ho: difference in coefficients not				
Ho and Ha, efficient un		ent under	systematic			
На; Но		$chi2(6) = (b-B)'[(V_b-V_B)^{-1}](b-B)$				
				= - 7.14		
			Prob>chi2 =	0.3077		

Source: Researcher's Computation (2018)

To decide between fixed or random effects, Hausman test was conducted where the null hypothesis is that the preferred model is random affects vs. the alternative the fixed effects (Green, 2008). It basically tests whether the unique errors (ui) are correlated with the regressors, the null hypothesis is they are not. If Chi2 < 0 is greater than 0.05 (i.e. significant), random effects should be considered, therefore the null hypothesis is accepted.



Abuja Journal of Economics & Allied Fields, Vol. 9(5), 2018 Print ISSN: 2672-4375; Online ISSN: 2672-4324

Fig. 2 - Panel Analysis plots on the effect of Noncurrent Assets on Net profit

Table-4. The Relationshi	between Noncurrent Assets and Net Profit
racie in rite reelationshi	

	LOGNET	LOGB	LOGI	LOGMA	LOGLEA	LOGLA	LOGFI
	PR	DG	CT	CH	SE	ND	XF
LOGNET	1.0000						
PR							
LOGBDG	0.6150*	1.0000					
LOGICT	0.9248*	-0.1823	1.0000				
LOGMA	0.7399*	0.0611	-	1.0000			
СН			0.0943				
LOGLEA	0.5458*	0.0086	0.0290	0.0070	1.0000		
SE							
LOGLAN	0.6940*	-0.1168	0.1520	0.0337	0.1773	1.0000	
D							
LOGFIXF	0.4460	0.0108	0.0358	0.1411	-0.1190	0.3231*	1.0000

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Source: Researcher's Computation (2018)

The table 4 shows the relationship between Noncurrent assets and Net Profit of sampled Nigerian commercial banks. The result in table 4 shows that investment in building (BDG) has positive relationship with net profit (NETPR) with coefficient 0.6150*. This result implies that an increase in Investment in building contributes to increase in net profit. Investment in

computer (ICT) has positive relationship with net profit with coefficient of 0.9248*. This result implies that an increase in Investment in computer (ICT) leads to increase in net profit. In the same vein, Plant and machinery (MACH) also has positive correlation with net profit, coefficient 0.7399*. This result implies that the increase in Plant and machinery (MACH) Enhances net profit.

Investment in leasehold premises (LEASEH) also has positive significant relationship with net profit with coefficient of 0.5458*. Furthermore, the result also shows that land (LAND) also has positive correlation with net profit, coefficient 0.8992. This result implies that the increase in land (LAND) influences increase in net profit. Fixture and Fitting (FIXF) also influence increase in net profit in that FIXF has a positive correlation with a coefficient of 0.4460. The table also revealed that all the predictor variables have positive relationship with net profit.

5. Summary and Conclusion

This study examined the effect of noncurrent assets on profitability of sampled Nigerian commercial banks. Secondary data were used in this study. The data was obtained from annual reports accounts of ten (10) Nigerian commercial Bank purposefully selected from 2006 to 2017. Panel Data analysis technique was used to analyse the effect of independent variables on dependent variable. Results showed that there is a positive significant effect of BDG, ICT, MACH on NETPR (β = .0052578; .0195288; $.0719033 t = 0.001, 0.000; 0.009 < 0.05). (\beta =$.0195288, t = 0.000 < 0.05). LEASE, LAND, and FIXF also had positive significant effect of LEASE on NETPR (β = .0434922; .1678305; .0499863 t = 0.007; 0.005; 0.000 < 0.05).

Investment in building, computer, and land had positive significant relationship with net profits. Similarly, lease exhibited negative relationship with NETPR indicating that the usage and not ownership has effect on return on investment. In the same vein, plant and machinery,

also has positive correlation with Net profit. This result implies that the increase in machinery also leads to increase in return on investment as indicated by Olatunji and Adegbite (2014) Investment in leasehold premises also has positive significant relationship with return on investment with the value of 0.5458*. Fixture and fitting also leads to increase in net profit that is there is a positive correlation with Net profit of Nigerian banks. The higher the level of investment in noncurrent assets, the higher will be the profit.

In conclusion, investments in noncurrent assets had positive significant impact on the Nigerian Banks' profitability. Noncurrent assets are used to generate revenue for the benefits of shareholders.

It is recommended that banks should establish efficient noncurrent asset management and optimization program in the bank in order to improve their profitability. This program should be designed to eliminate or reduce the effect of carry cost for assets that are no longer needed or used in the bank. Banks should also improve the investments in noncurrent assets in terms of ICT so as to boost their profitability.

References

- Olatunji T. E. & Adegbite, T.A. 2014: Investment in Fixed Assets and Firm Profitability: Empirical Evidence from the Nigerian Banking Sector. Asian Journal of Social Sciences and Management Studies ISSN: 2313-7401 Vol. 1, No. 3, 78-82, 2014
- Beneish, M., C. Lee & R. Tarpley, 2001. Contextual fundamental analysis in the prediction of extreme returns. Review of Accounting Studies, 2/3: 165-191.
- Berger, A.N. & R. DeYoung, 1997. Problem loans and cost efficiency in commercial banks. Journal of Banking & Finance, 21: 849-870.
- Eriotis, N.P., A.Z. Frangouli & Z.V. Neokosmides, 2000. Profit margin and capital structure: An empirical relationship. The Journal of Applied Business Research, 18(285): 1 – 3.
- Gautam, K., 2008. Important tips for stock market investors outlook money. November 24 Rediff Indian Abroad. Available from <u>www.rediff.com</u> [Accessed 20th February, 2011].
- Ibam 2007 How to Evaluate a Company before Investing, 2007. Stock Exchange News Sat. Available from

freemanskrikesblogspot.com/.../how-toevaluate-company-be [Accessed 20th March, 2011].

- Khalid, A.C., 2012. The impact of asset quality on profitability of private banks in India: A case study of JK, ICICI, HDFC & YES Banks Journal of African Macroeconomic Review of Accounting Studies, 2(1): 127-143.
- Okwo, I.M., D.O. Ugwunta & A.U. Nweze, 2012. Investment in fixed assets and firm profitability: Evidence from the Nigerian brewery industry. European Journal of Business and Management, 4(20). Available from www.iiste.org.
- Sayeed, M.A. & M.S. Hogue, 2009. Impact of assets and liability management on profitability: A study of public vs private

Commercial Bank in Bangladesh. Available from www.wbicconpro.com/30%5B/%5D.pdf [Accessed 5th December, 2010].

- Whisenant & Yohn, 2003. Asset liability management and commercial banks profitability in Ethiopia. Research Journal of Finance and Accounting, 4(10, 2013). Available from www.iiste.org ISSN 2222-1697 (Paper) ISSN 2222-2847 (Online).
- Zheng Sheng, X. Nuo & Zhi X, 1997. The research of the optimal allocation of assets structure and business performance. Res. J. Econ. Bus. ICT(8): 1-5.